



Q&A and Technical Support

- Please use the Q&A feature on the right-hand portion of your screen for any clinical questions and logistical concerns you have regarding the session. This is the only online method of communicating questions or concerns. Should you need additional assistance please e-mail education@nccn.org or call 215-690-0300 and ask to be connected with someone in the NCCN Conferences and Meetings Department.
- While NCCN is pleased to respond to as many questions as possible during this webinar, NCCN will not be able to respond to your individual questions of a clinical nature after the webinar has concluded. We are also not able to offer recommendations on patient care regarding specific cases.



Accreditation Information

Intended Audience

This educational program is designed to meet the educational needs of oncologists, nurses, pharmacists, and other health care professionals who manage patients with breast cancer.

Learning Objectives

Following this program, participants should be able to:

- Analyze critical clinical considerations in choosing the most appropriate treatment regimen for a given patient with advanced breast cancer.
- Compare the risks and benefits of the newer options available for the treatment of patients with HER2-positive disease to limit toxicities and optimize outcomes.
- Assess the risks and benefits of current and emerging agents in order to appropriately integrate them into the treatment of patients who have developed resistance to endocrine therapy.

Accreditation Information

Physicians

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network designates this web-based activity for a maximum of 1.0 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits*[™]. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Nurses

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) is accredited as a provider of continuing nursing education by the American Nurses Credentialing Center's (ANCC) Commission on Accreditation. NCCN designates this educational activity for a maximum of 1.0 contact hours. Accreditation as a provider refers to the recognition of educational activities only; accredited status does not imply endorsement by NCCN or ANCC of any commercial products discussed/displayed in conjunction with the educational activity.

Kristina M. Gregory, RN, MSN, OCN, is our lead nurse planner for this educational activity.









Faculty Disclosures

Disclosure of Relevant Financial Relationships

All faculty and activity planners participating in NCCN continuing education activities are expected to disclose any relevant financial relationships with a commercial interest as defined by the ACCME's, ANCC's, and ACPE's Standards for Commercial Support. All faculty presentations have been reviewed for adherence to the ACCME's Criterion 7: The provider develops activities/educational interventions independent of commercial interests (SCS 1, 2, and 6) by experts on the topics. Full disclosure of faculty relationships will be made prior to the activity.

Faculty Disclosures

The faculty listed below have no relevant financial relationships to disclose:

William J. Gradishar, MD



Faculty Biography

William J. Gradishar, MD, is the Betsy Bramsen Professor of Breast Oncology in the Division of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine at the Feinberg School of Medicine at Northwestern University and a member of Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center of Northwestern University. He serves as Director of the Maggie Daley Center for Women's Cancer Care. He also has served as Chair of the Annual Lynn Sage Comprehensive Breast Cancer Symposium since its inception.

Dr. Gradishar received his medical degree from the University of Illinois Abraham School of Medicine. He later completed a residency and chief residency in internal medicine at Michael Reese Hospital and Medical Center and a fellowship in medical oncology at the University of Chicago. He is board-certified in internal medicine and medical oncology.

Dr. Gradishar has published in the area of breast cancer therapeutics, with a focus on new endocrine therapy, chemotherapy, and biologic agents. A Fellow of the American College of Physicians, Dr. Gradishar also is a member of the American Association for Cancer Research, the American Federation for Clinical Research, and the Association of Subspecialty Professors. He is a Fellow of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) and past-Chair of ASCO's Nominating Committee, Professional Development Committee, Oncology Training Program Committee, and Communications Committee.

Additionally, Dr. Gradishar serves as a consultant to the Oncology Drug Advisory Committee of the FDA. He has served on the Committee on Cancer for the American College of Surgeons. He also has served on numerous study sections including NIH, NCI, ACS, Komen, and Alberta Cancer Board. Dr. Gradishar was awarded the Betsy Bramsen Endowed Chair of Breast Oncology at Northwestern University.

Dr. Gradishar is an editorial board member for numerous journals, including the *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, Oncology, Clinical Breast Cancer, Journal Watch, the European Journal of Clinical and Medical Oncology, and Clinical Cancer Research.

Dr. Gradishar currently serves as Chair of the NCCN Breast Cancer Panel and as a member of the NCCN Breast Cancer Risk Reduction Panel.



OUTLINE

- The HER2 Algorithm
 - Theresa update
 - Marianne
- TNBC
 - No evidence based standard, still chemo
 - Emerging data with checkpoint inhibitors
- ER+
 - New partners?
 - Molecular clues for resistance providing insights to Precision Medicine















Hormonal Therapy in HER2-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer

Regimen	ORR, %	Median PFS, months
Trastuzumab (N = 114; HER2 positive, n = 79) ¹	26	3.5-3.8
Anastrozole/trastuzumab (n = 103) ²	20	4.8
Anastrozole (n = 104) ²	7	2.4
Lapatinib/letrozole (n = 642) ³	28	8.2
Letrozole (n = 644) ³	15	3.0
Lapatinib (N = 138) ⁴	24	NA











San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium, December 8-12, 2015

Treatment of Physician's Choice Regimen

TPC treatment regimen	TPC (n=184ª))
Combination with HER2-directed agent, %	83.2	
Chemotherapy ^b + trastuzumab	68.5	-
Lapatinib + trastuzumab	10.3	Trastuzumab- containing
Hormonal therapy + trastuzumab	1.6	80.4
Chemotherapy ^b + lapatinib	2.7	
Single-agent chemotherapy, ^b %	16.8	

^aIncludes patients who received study treatment. Excludes one patient who was randomized to the TPC arm but received two cycles of T-DM1 by mistake. ^bThe most common chemotherapy agents used were vinorelbine, gemcitabine, eribulin, pacifaxel, and docetaxel.



	TPC (n=184)		T-DM1 (n=403)	
	Any grade	Grade≥3	Any grade	Grade ≥3
Ionhematologic AEs, %				
Diarrhea	22.3	4.3	12.7	0.7
Dyspnea	13.0	3.8	11.7	2.5
Asthenia	17.9	3.3	19.1	1.0
Abdominal pain	12.5	2.7	7.4	1.2
AST increased	7.1	2.7	12.4	2.5
Fatigue	26.1	2.7	30.8	2.2
ALT increased	5.4	2.2	9.2	1.5
Cellulitis	3.8	2.2	1.7	0.5
Pulmonary embolism	2.2	2.2	0.5	0.5
lematologic AEs, %				
Neutropenia	21.7	15.8	7.7	2.5
Febrile neutropenia	3.8	3.8	0.2	0.2
Anemia	11.4	3.3	11.4	3.5
Leukopenia	6.0	2.7	2.2	0.5
Thrombocytopeniaa	3.8	2.7	20.6	6.0







NCCN National Comprehensive Cancer Network* Invasiv	Guidelines Version 1.2016 ve Breast Cancer
CHEMOTHERAPY REGIMENS	FOR RECURRENT OR METASTATIC BREAST CANCER
Preferred single agents: Anthracyclines • Doxorubicin • Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin Taxanes • Paclitaxel Anti-metabolites • Capecitabine • Gemcitabine Other microtubule inhibitors • Vinorelbine • Eribulin	Other single agents: • Cyclophosphamide • Carboplatin • Docetaxel • Albumin-bound paclitaxel • Cisplatin • Epirubicin • Ixabepilone Chemotherapy combinations: • CAF/FAC (cyclophosphamide/doxorubicin/fluorouracil) • FEC (fluorouracil/epirubicin/cyclophosphamide) • AC (doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide) • EC (epirubicin/cyclophosphamide) • CMF (cyclophosphamide/methotrexate/fluorouracil) • Docetaxel/capecitabine • GT (gemcitabine/paclitaxel) • Gemcitabine/carboplatin • Paclitaxel/bevacizumab
© 2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guideline	These guidelines and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN [®] , s, go online to NCCN.org.





TNBC Subtypes				
21 publicly available gene expression	on breast cancer datasets, 587 TNBCs			
Training Set Validation Set	Basal-like 1 (BL1): Cell-cycle, proliferation and DNA damage response genes			
	Basal-like 2 (BL2) : Growth factor signaling (EGF, MET, Wnt/β-catenin, IGF1R)			
	Immunomodulatory (IM): Immune cell & cytokine signaling (overlap with medullary signature			
	Mesenchymal (M): Cell motility and differentiation (Wnt, ALK, TGF-β)			
	Mesenchymal stem-like (MSL) : Similar to M, but increased growth factors signaling, low proliferation, enrichment of stem cell genes			
	Luminal androgen receptor (LAR): Enriched in hormonally-regulated pathways, androgen receptor signaling. Displays luminal expression patterns (molecular apocrine carcinomas)			
Copyright © 2011, American Society for Clinical Investigation	Lehmann BD, et al. Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2011			





















Inhibition of PD-L1 by MPDL3280A leads to clinical activity in TNBC Emens et al. AACR 2015 Abst. 2859 Metastatic TNBC expansion cohort as part of Phase la study N =27 ORR =24% (3 PR; 2 CR) 24 week PFS = 33% Toxicity tolerable

• 0.1-41.6 week duration; median duration not reached



Courtesy of Dirix et al. SABCS 2015 abs S1-04



Characteristic	All Pts (N = 168)	Pts With TNBC (n = 58)
Median age, yrs (range)	55 (31-81)	52.5 (31-80)
Female, %	99.4	100
ECOG PS, % • 0 • 1	49.4 50.6	56.9 43.1
Molecular subtype, % • TNBC • HER2-/ER+ or HER2-/PgR+ • HER2+ • Unknown	34.5 42.9 15.5 7.1	100
Previous regimens,* % • ≥ 3 • 2 • ≤ 1	52.4 20.8 26.8	22.4 27.6 50.0
Median time since Dx of MBC, mos (range) [†]	21.6 (0.7-176.8)	13.2 (0.7-176.8)



Best Overall Response, %	All Pts (N = 168)	Pts With TNBC (n = 58)
CR	0.6	0
PR	4.2	8.6
SD*	23.2	22.4
PD	63.1	65.5
Not evaluable	8.9	3.4
ORR	4.8 (95% CI: 2.1-9.2)	8.6 95% CI: 2.9-19.0)
DCR [†]	28.0	31.0
*Defined as SD at first assessment after ([†] Defined as response plus SD.	ô wks.	
	4. Courtesy c	f Dirix et al. SABCS 2015 abs S1-(







Best Overall 11 21 31 + All Patier				
Response	(n = 9)	(n = 8)	(n = 7)	N = 24
Confirmed ORR (95% CI)ª	66.7% (29.9, 92.5)	25% (3.2, 65.1)	28.6% (3.7, 71.0)	41.7% (22.1, 63.4)
ORR (95% CI)⁵	88.9% (51.7, 99.7)	75.0% (34.9, 96.8)	42.9% (9.9, 81.6)	70.8% (48.9, 87.4)
CR	11.1%	0	0	4.2%
PR	77.8%	75.0%	42.9%	66.7%
SD	11.1%	25.0%	28.6%	20.8%
PD	0	0	28.6%	8.3%

Table 5. Objective Response Rate by PD-L1 Expression Level ^a			
	IC0 (n = 7)	IC1/2/3 (n = 9)	Unknown (n = 8)
ORR (95% CI)	57.1% (18.4, 90.1)	77.8% (40.0, 97.2)	75% (34.9, 96.8)
CR	0	0	12.5%
PR	57.1%	77.8%	62.5%
SD	42.9%	22.2%	0
PD	0	0	25%
 Including investigator-assess 	ed unconfirmed responses.		





 Non-randomized, open-label, pilot phase II clinical trial of the PD-L1 inhibitor, durvalumab (MEDI4736), in combination with the CTLA-4 inhibitor, tremelimumab, in patients with stage IV HER2-negative breast cancer (hormone-refractory & TNBC)



Key Inclusion Criteria

- Stage IV HER2-negative breast cancer
- TNBC: must have progressed through at least 1 prior chemotherapy regimen in the metastatic setting or within 12 months of last adjuvant systemic tx
- ER positive disease: must have received prior therapy with palbociclib (in addition to 1 line of chemotherapy and standard hormone therapy options) prior to enrollment in the study
- ECOG PS 0-2
- Willing to provide fresh biopsies prior to enrollment & after 2 cycles of treatment







Big Questions in ER+ MBC

- Overcoming endocrine resistance
- . Role for endocrine monotherapy
- . New partners for endocrine therapy
- Challenges is certain subsets (ER+/HER+)

Copyright 2016©, National Comprehensive Cancer Network[®]. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any other form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, without first obtaining written permission from NCCN[®].

PALOMA3: A Double-Blind, Phase III Trial of Fulvestrant with or without Palbociclib in Pre- and Post-Menopausal Women with Hormone Receptor-Positive, HER2-Negative Metastatic Breast Cancer that Progressed on Prior Endocrine Therapy

Turner NC et al. Proc ASCO 2015; Abstract LBA502.

Palbociclib in Hormone-Receptor–Positive Advanced Breast Cancer

Nicholas C. Turner, M.D., Ph.D., Jungsil Ro, M.D., Fabrice André, M.D., Ph.D., Sherene Loi, M.D., Ph.D., Sunil Verma, M.D., Hiroji Iwata, M.D., Nadia Harbeck, M.D., Sibylle Loibl, M.D., Cynthia Huang Bartlett, M.D., Ke Zhang, Ph.D., Carla Giorgetti, Ph.D., Sophia Randolph, M.D., Ph.D., Maria Koehler, M.D., Ph.D., and Massimo Cristofanili, M.D.

	Palbociclib + Fulvestrant (n=347), % of patients	Placebo + Fulvestrant (n=174), % of patients	<i>P</i> value
ORR	10.4	6.3	0.1582
CBR*	34.0	19.0	0.0004
s underestimat 6 of palbociclib the time of	ed. and 24% of placebo pts remain o f the interim analysis, O	n study treatment with <24 we S data was immature	eeks of follow up. e with 28 dea

Frequency of ESR1 Mutations					
 High ESR1 mutation Some double mutatio 	frequency in cfDNA s	amples			
	D538G and/or Y537S mutation	D538G mutation	Y537S mutation	Double mutation	
Overall, N = 541 (74.7% of ITT)	156 (28.8%)	83 (15.3%)	42 (7.8%)	30 (5.5%)	
fDNA, cell free DNA; ITT, intention to treat.					

Conclusions

- . cfDNA analysis of archival plasma samples is feasible for mutation detection
- ESR1 mutation frequency in cfDNA samples is higher than identified with tumor sequencing
 - The 28% mutation frequency for D538G and Y537S ESR1 mutations assayed likely underestimates the frequency for all activating *ESR1* mutations
 - The occurrence of multiple *ESR1* mutations is not uncommon
- *ESR1* mutations are identified in HR+ MBC prior to first-line therapy; frequency markedly increases in patients treated with AIs in the metastatic setting
- D538G and Y537S mutations appear to be associated with a more aggressive disease biology as demonstrated by a shorter OS
- Differential effects of the Y537S and D538G mutations on treatment
 - In the EXE only arm, patients with D538G mutation had a shorter PFS as compared to wild-type
 - Patients with D538G mutation demonstrate PFS benefit with the addition of EVE, whereas those with Y537S mutation did not

Is, aromatase inhibitors; cfDNA, cell free DNA; EVE, everolimus; HR+, hormone receptor-positive; MBC, metastatic breast cancer; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival

PIK3CA Status in Circulating Tumor DNA Predicts Efficacy of Buparlisib Plus Fulvestrant in Postmenopausal Women With Endocrine-Resistant HR+/HER2– Advanced Breast Cancer: First Results From the Randomized, Phase III BELLE-2 Trial

Abstract #S6-01

Baselga J, Im S-A, Iwata H, Clemons M, Ito Y, Awada A, Chia S, Jagiełło-Gruszfeld A, Pistilli B, Tseng L-M, Hurvitz S, Masuda N, Cortés J, De Laurentiis M, Arteaga CL, Jiang Z, Jonat W, Hachemi S, Le Mouhaër S, Di Tomaso E, Urban P, Massacesi C, Campone M

BELLE-2 Key Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Key Inclusion Criteria

- Postmenopausal women with ER+ and/or Pr
- PgR+ and HER2– inoperable locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer
- Disease progression on/after AI therapy:
- Recurrence during or ≤12 months from end of adjuvant AI therapy
- Progression on AI therapy for advanced/metastatic disease
- Measurable disease or non-measurable lytic or mixed bone lesions (RECIST v1.1)
- Tumor tissue for analysis of PI3K-related biomarkers

Key Exclusion Criteria

- Prior therapy with a PI3K, AKT, or mTOR inhibitor, or fulvestrant
- More than one prior chemotherapy line for metastatic disease
- History of, or active, anxiety, depression, or other major psychiatric disorders (measured using validated questionnaires)

Baselga J, et al. Presented at: 2015 San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium; December 8-12, 2015; San Antonio, Texas. Abstract S6-01.

Discoss Chansetanistics								
Disease Characteristics								
Characteristic	Buparlisib + fulvestrant (n = 576)	Placebo + fulvestrant (n =5 71) 61 (31–90)						
Median age, years (range)	62 (29–90)							
ECOG performance status, %								
0	57.8	60.2						
1	40.1	37.0						
Hormone receptor status, %								
ER+	99.1	98.6						
PgR+	74.8	74.1						
PI3K pathway activation status, %								
Activated	32.6	32.2 ←						
Non-activated	41.5	42.0						
Unknown	25.9	25.7						
Visceral disease present, %	59.2	59.0						
Prior therapy in metastatic setting, %)							
Any hormonal therapy	72.6	75.1						
Any aromatase inhibitors	69.4	71.5						
Any chemotherapy	24.5	31.0						
Prior lines of hormonal therapy in me	etastatic setting, %							
0	27.4	24.9						
1	53.1	52.7						
≥2	19.4	22.4						

uparlisib + fulvestrant (n = 576)	Placebo + fulvestrant (n = 571)	
16.1	16.5	
83.5	83.2	
54.3	73.0	
13.2	1.8	
8.9	3.2	
4.0	3.7	
1.2	0.9	
1.9	0.7	
Buparlisib + fulvestrant (n = 573)	Placebo + fulvestrant (n = 570)	
4.2	5.0	
93.2	100	
\frown		
46.4	7.0	
	uparlisib + fulvestrant (n = 576) 16.1 83.5 54.3 13.2 8.9 4.0 1.2 1.9 Buparlisib + fulvestrant (n = 573) 4.2 h 93.2	

Adverse event, %	Buparlisib + fulvestrant			Placebo + fulvestrant		
	n = 573			n = 570		
	All grades	Grade 3	Grade 4	All grades	Grade 3	Grade 4
	99.5	03.2 10.7	14.1	93.0	21.4	4.0
	40.1	10.7	0.0	0.0	1.1	U
Lunoralycomia	37.3	15.0	3.0	9.3	2.0	0
Roch	43.1	13.2	0.2	1.1 6.2	0.2	0
Apviotu	32.1 22.2	<i>1.1</i>	0.2	0.3	0	0
Fotique	22.3	J.Z	0.2	0.2	0.9	0
Paliyue	21.9	4.9	07	23.9	1.0	0
Diarrhea	20.2	3.7	0.7	0.9	1 1	0
Asthenia	20.1	2.2	0	10.5	1.1	0
Stomatitis	20.1	2.0	0	6.5	0.5	0
Nausea	38.7	17	0	23.2	1.4	0
Decreased annetite	29.8	1.7	0	11 1	0.2	
Serious adverse arm 12 on-treatmen	e events occur t deaths (2.1%	were report	of patients in the of patients in the second s	in the buparlisi	b arm vs 15.8 population, the	% in the place e majority due

Г

BELLE-2 Prospectively Evaluated *PIK3CA* Mutation Status in ctDNA

- There are substantial limitations in utilizing archival tumor tissue for PI3K testing in patients with metastatic disease, including tumor evolution under selective pressure, sample bias, and tumor heterogeneity
 - Approximately 80% of archival tissue biopsy samples were obtained from the primary tumor
- ctDNA obtained from blood samples has emerged as a sensitive, reliable, and minimally invasive way to measure current *PIK3CA* mutation status¹⁻⁴
- In BELLE-2, ctDNA from 587 patients was analyzed for *PIK3CA* mutations by BEAMing technology⁴
 - Baseline patient characteristics were generally balanced in the full and ctDNA populations; based on sensitivity analyses, any imbalances did not influence the efficacy outcome

BEAMing, beads, emulsification, amplification, and magnetics; ctDNA, circulating tumor DNA

Garcia-Murillas I, et al. Sci Transl Med. 2015; 7:302ra133; 2 Bettegowda C, et al. Sci Transl Med. 2014;6:224ra24
 Rothé F, et al. Ann Oncol. 2014;25:1959–1965; 4. Higgins MJ, et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2012;18:3462–3469.

Baselga J, et al. Presented at: 2015 San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium; December 8-12, 2015; San Antonio, Texas. Abstract S6-01.

