



National NCCN Cancer Network*

Comprehensive NCCN Guidelines Version 1.2016 **Invasive Breast Cancer Panel Members**

William J. Gradishar, MD/Chair Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center of Northwestern University

Benjamin O. Anderson, MD/Vice-Chair **Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center/Seattle Cancer Care Alliance**

Ron Balassanian, MD **UCSF Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center**

Sarah L. Blair, MD UC San Diego Moores Cancer Center

Harold J. Burstein, MD, PhD Dana-Farber/Brigham and Women's **Cancer Center**

Amy Cyr, MD Siteman Cancer Center at Barnes-Jewish Hospital and Washington University School of Medicine

Anthony D. Elias, MD University of Colorado Cancer Center lines and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN® to NCCN and

William B. Farrar, MD The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center - James Cancer Hospital and Solove Research Institute

Andres Forero, MD University of Alabama at Birmingham **Comprehensive Cancer Center**

Sharon Hermes Giordano, MD, MPH The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center

Matthew Goetz, MD **Mayo Clinic Cancer Center**

Lori J. Goldstein, MD **Fox Chase Cancer Center**

Clifford A. Hudis, MD **Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center**

Steven J. Isakoff, MD, PhD **Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center**

Comprehensive NCCN Guidelines Version 1.2016 **Invasive Breast Cancer Panel Members**

P. Kelly Marcom, MD **Duke Cancer Institute**

NCCN

National

Cancer

Network*

Ingrid A. Mayer, MD Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center

Beryl McCormick, MD Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center

Meena Moran, MD Yale Cancer Center/Smilow Cancer Hospital

Sameer A. Patel, MD **Fox Chase Cancer Center**

Lori J. Pierce, MD University of Michigan Comprehensive **Cancer Center**

Elizabeth C. Reed, MD Fred & Pamela Buffett Cancer Center

Kilian E. Salerno, MD **Roswell Park Cancer Institute** Lee S. Schwartzberg, MD St. Jude Children's Research Hospital/ The University of Tennessee Health Science Center

Karen Lisa Smith, MD, MPH The Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive **Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins**

Mary Lou Smith, JD, MBA **Research Advocacy Network**

Hatem Soliman, MD **Moffitt Cancer Center**

George Somlo, MD **City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center**

Melinda Telli, MD **Stanford Cancer Institute**

John H. Ward, MD Huntsman Cancer Institute at the University of Utah

© 2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. These guidelines and this illu To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. on may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN®

Outline

- Preoperative endocrine rx
- Optimizing adjuvant endocrine rx in pre- and postmenopausal women
- Preoperative HER2 –directed therapy
- New partners for endocrine rx in MBC
- Fertility



Who is Suitable for Neoadjuvant Endocrine Therapy?

- Selection paramount
 - ER Rich Cancers (Allred 7+8)
 - Older postmenopausal women but also
 - > Younger women with significant morbidities

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy +/- anti –HER2 rx is increasingly successful in producing pCRs, <u>BUT</u> only in ER- and HER2-positive cancers















ACOSC	DG Z1031	, Cohort A	
Clin	nical Resp	ponses	
Clinical Response	EXE (n = 124)	Treatment Arm LET (n = 127)	n ANA (n = 123)
Complete	25	26	20
Response	(20%)	(21%)	(16%)
Partial Response	49	66	63
	(40%)	(52%)	(51%)
Clinical Response	74/124	92/127	83/123
rate	(60%)	(72%)	(68%)
All were ER r Olson JA, et al. Presented at: 2010 Breast Car	ich with Allred s	CORES OF 6-8.	t 91.













Copyright 2016©, National Comprehensive Cancer Network[®]. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any other form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, without first obtaining written permission from NCCN[®].





Combined Outcomes in ATLAS and aTTom				
	Breast Cancer Mortality	Overall Survival		
Years 5 - 9	0.97 (0.84-1.15)	0.99 (0.89-1.10)		
Years 10+	0.75 (0.65-0.86)*	0.84 (0.77-0.93)*		
All Years	0.85 (0.77-0.94)*	0.91 (0.84-0.97)*		
*p < 0.05 favoring 10 yea	rs			
		Gray, et al. Abstract 5. ASCO 2013		















Copyright 2016©, National Comprehensive Cancer Network[®]. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any other form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, without first obtaining written permission from NCCN[®].









Predictable Adv	erse Even	ts Profile
CTCAE V3.0 Grade 3-4	E + OFS	T + OFS
Musculoskeletal	11%	5.2%
Fracture	1.3%	0.8%
Cardiac	0.3%	0.1%
Thrombosis/ embolism	0.8%	1.9%
Dyspareunia	2.3%	1.4%
Premature discontinuation	16%	11%
Paga	ni et al, N Engl J Mec	l, 2014















Pathologic Response in Neo-ALTTO				
Lapatin	Lapatinib + paclitaxel			
Trastuzi	Trastuzumab + paclitaxel			
Trastuzi	umab + lapatinib + paclitax	el		
	Path CR	Path CR		
	(breast only)	(breast + LN)		
Lapatinib + Paclitaxel	25%	20%		
Trastuzumab + paclitaxel	29%	28%		
Trast + Lap +	51%	47%		
paclitaxel				
Baselga et al, Lancet 2012				



























Copyright 2016©, National Comprehensive Cancer Network[®]. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any other form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, without first obtaining written permission from NCCN[®].

PALOMA3: A Double-Blind, Phase III Trial of Fulvestrant with or without Palbociclib in Pre- and Post-Menopausal Women with Hormone Receptor-Positive, HER2-Negative Metastatic Breast Cancer that Progressed on Prior Endocrine Therapy

Turner NC et al. Proc ASCO 2015; Abstract LBA502.



JULY 16, 2015

Palbociclib in Hormone-Receptor-Positive Advanced Breast Cancer

Nicholas C, Turner, M.D., Ph.D., Jungsil Ro, M.D., Fabrice André, M.D., Ph.D., Sherene Loi, M.D., Ph.D., Sunil Yerma, M.D., Hiroji Iwata, M.D., Nadia Harbeck, M.D., Sibylle Loibl, M.D., Cynthia Huang Bartlett, M.D., Ke Zhang, Ph.D., Carla Giorgetti, Ph.D., Sophia Randolph, M.D., Ph.D., Maria Koehler, M.D., Ph.D., and Massimo Cristofanilli, M.D.



























Locoregional Updates

Outline

- General principles of radiation
- Guidelines updates
- Regional nodal irradiation (RNI)
 - Which patients need RNI and to what extent?

Principles of Radiation Therapy

- Treatment options
 - Targets
 - Definitions
 - Techniques
- Optimizing treatment planning and delivery

Radiation Treatment Options

TARGETS: Whole breast Partial breast Chest wall Regional nodes SCV ICV Axilla at risk IMNs Boost





Radiation Treatment Options

DOSE and FRACTIONATION

- Conventional Fractionation
 - 1.8-2 Gy per fraction to total dose 45-50 Gy

Hypofractionation

- Shorter course utilizing larger doses per fraction
- >2 Gy per fraction to lower total dose
 - 40 42.5 Gy given in daily fxs for whole breast
 - 34 38.5 Gy given twice daily fxs for partial breast

Accelerated course

Treatment over shorter time course

Radiation Treatment Options

MODALITIES:

- External Beam

- Photons
- Electrons

Brachytherapy

- Radioactive source
- Catheters/devices

Intraoperative Various means





Invasive Breast Cancer

PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY

Whole Breast Radiation:

Target definition is the breast tissue in entirety. The whole breast should receive a dose of 46–50 Gy in 23–25 fractions or 40–42.5 Gy in 15–16 fractions (hypofractionation is preferred). All dose schedules are given 5 days per week. A boost to the tumor bed is recommended in patients at higher risk for recurrence. Typical boost doses are 10–16 Gy in 4–8 fractions.

Chest Wall Radiation (including breast reconstruction):

The target includes the ipsilateral chest wall, mastectomy scar, and drain sites when indicated. Depending on whether the patient has had breast reconstruction or not, several techniques using photons and/or electrons are appropriate. CT-based treatment planning is encouraged in order to identify lung and heart volumes and minimize exposure of these organs. Dose is 46–50 Gy in 23–25 fractions to the chest wall +/- scar boost at 2 Gy per fraction to a total dose of approximately 60 Gy. All dose schedules are given 5 days per week. Special consideration should be given to the use of bolus material to ensure that the skin dose is adequate.

Regional Nodal Radiation:

Target delineation is best achieved by the use of CT-based treatment planning. For the paraclavicular and axillary nodes, prescription depth varies based on the patient anatomy. For internal mammary node identification, the internal mammary artery and vein can be used as a surrogate for the nodal location (as the nodes themselves are not usually visible on planning imaging). Based on the post-mastectomy radiation randomized studies and recent trials, radiation therapy of the internal mammary lymph nodes should be strongly considered when delivering regional nodal irradiation. CT treatment planning should be utilized when treating the internal mammary lymph nodal volume to evaluate dose to normal tissues, especially the heart and lung, and dose constraints respected. Dose is 46–50 Gy in 23–25 fractions to the regional nodal fields. All dose schedules are given 5 days per week.

© National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2016, All rights reserved. The NCCN Guidelines" and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN".

NCCN NCCN Network

Comprehensive NCCN Guidelines Version 1.2016 Cancer Network® Invasive Breast Cancer

PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY

Optimizing Delivery of Individual Therapy:

It is important to individualize radiation therapy planning and delivery. CT-based treatment planning is encouraged to delineate target volumes and adjacent organs at risk. Greater target dose homogeneity and sparing of normal tissues can be accomplished using compensators such as wedges, forward planning using segments, and intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT). Respiratory control techniques including deep inspiration breath-hold and prone positioning may be used to try to further reduce dose to adjacent normal tissues, in particular heart and lung. Boost treatment in the setting of breast conservation can be delivered using enface electrons, photons, or brachytherapy. Chest wall scar boost when indicated is typically treated with electrons or photons. Verification of daily setup consistency is done with weekly imaging. In certain circumstances, more frequent imaging may be appropriate. Routine use of daily imaging is not recommended.

twork, Inc. 2016, All rights reserved. The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form

Radiation Treatment Options Distribution - Positioning • Supine vs Prone - CT based planning - 3D conformal vs IMRT - Respiratory control with deep inspiration breath hold technique

"respiratory gating"

Respiratory gating cube and glasses

BINV-I

Use of Prone Positioning

Use of prone positioning

- Use in select patients with early stage disease
- Breast is target
- Minimize normal tissue doses and treatment toxicity





NCCN Guidelines Updates: Breast Cancer

- Adjuvant radiation options following breast conserving surgery
 - Hypofractionation
 - Accelerated Partial Breast Irradiation (APBI)
 - Omission of RT



NCCN National Compreher Cancer Network

Comprehensive Cancer Network® Invasive Breast Cancer

PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY

Whole Breast Radiation:

Target definition is the breast tissue in entirety. The whole breast should receive a dose of 46–50 Gy in 23–25 fractions or 40–42.5 Gy in 15–16 fractions (hypofractionation is preferred). All dose schedules are given 5 days per week. A boost to the tumor bed is recommended in patients at higher risk for recurrence. Typical boost doses are 10–16 Gy in 4–8 fractions.

Accelerated Partial Breast Irradiation (APBI):

Preliminary studies of APBI suggest that rates of local control in selected patients with early-stage breast cancer may be comparable to those treated with standard whole breast RT. However, compared to standard whole breast radiation, several recent studies document an inferior cosmetic outcome with APBI. Follow-up is limited and studies are ongoing. Patients are encouraged to participate in clinical trials. If not trial eligible, per the consensus statement from the American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO), patients who may be suitable for APBI are women 60 y and older who are not carriers of BRCA 1/2 mutation treated with primary surgery for a unifocal TINO ER-positive cancer. Histology should be infiltrating ductai or a favorable ductal subtype and not associated with EIC or LCIS, and margins should be negative. 34 Gy in 10 fractions delivered twice per day with brachytherapy or 38.5 Gy in 10 fractions delivered

twice per day with external beam photon therapy is prescribed to the tumor bed. Other fractionation schemes are currently under investigation.

BINV-I
Dirty

Hypofractionation

- <u>Whole breast radiation: why is</u> <u>hypofractionation now preferred in the</u> <u>guidelines?</u>
 - Long term results from Ontario and UK trials

Canadian 42.5 Gy in 16 fractions, no boost START B 40 Gy in 15 fractions, ± boost

- At least equivalent or better disease outcomes
- At least equivalent or better cosmesis
- At least equivalent or better side effects

Whelan et al, NEJM 2010 Haviland et al, Lancet Oncol 2013

Hypofractionation

- Who can be treated with hypofractionated whole breast irradiation?
- ASTRO Guidelines 2011 (following Ontario publication but prior to UK)

Table 1. Evidence supports the equivalence of hypofractionated whole breast irradiation with conventionally fractionated whole breast irradiation for patients who satisfy all of these criteria*

1. Patient is 50 years or older at diagnosis

- Pathologic stage is T1-2 N0 and patient has been treated with breast- conserving surgery.
 Patient has not been treated with systemic chemotherapy.
 Within the breast along the central axis, the minimum dose is no less than 93% and maximum dose is no greater than 107% of the prescription dose (±7%;) (as calculated with 2-dimensional treatment planning without heterogeneity corrections).

[®] For patients who do not satisfy all of these criteria, the task force could not reach consensus and therefore chose not to render a recommen-dation either for or against hypofractionated whole breast irradiation in this setting. Please see the text for a thorough discussion of tumor grade. Patients receiving any type of whole breast irradiation should generally be suitable for breast-conserving therapy with regards to standard selection rules (e.g., not p egnant, no evidence of multicentric disease, no prior radiotherapy to the breast, no hist ry of certain collagen-vascul

- · I treat more broadly than this since UK results
- ASTRO to update guidelines in 2017
- Not used routinely for nodal irradiation at this time

Smith et al, IJROBP 2011



Accelerated Partial Breast Irradiation (APBI)

- Different methods for delivery
 - IORT
 - Interstitial
 - Intracavitary
 - EBRT
- Different guidelines/consensus statements
 - ASTRO, ASBS, ABS, ESTRO
 - Inclusion/exclusion criteria for NSABP B39/ RTOG 0413
- ASTRO defines suitable, cautionary, unsuitable groups
- NCCN guidelines based on ASTRO suitable group

Smith et al, IJROBP 2009



Omission of Radiation

- In selected women with lower risk for recurrence
- No survival detriment
- CALGB 9343
 - 70 or older, small cancers, negative nodes, negative margins, ER/PR positive
 - BCS \rightarrow Tamoxifen ± RT
 - 10% (no RT) vs 2 % (RT) LRR at median 12.6 yrs
- PRIME II, Fyles et al, NSABP B-21

Hughes et al, JCO 2013; Kunkler et al, Lancet Oncol 2015; Fyles et al, NEJM 2004; Fisher et al JCO 2002

Adjuvant Radiation Options Following BCS: Summary

- Hypofractionated Whole Breast Irradiation
 PREFERRED
- Accelerated Partial Breast Irradiation (APBI)
 – ASTRO suitable criteria
- Omission of RT
 YES in select patients

NCCN Guidelines Updates: Breast Cancer

- Post Mastectomy Radiation Therapy (PMRT)
- Regional nodal irradiation (RNI)
 Either in setting of BCT or PMRT
 - ≥4 LNs +
 - 1-3 LNs +
- Treatment of recurrence











Adjuvant Radiation Recommendations

- Treatment of inoperable or locally advanced breast cancer?
 - Neoadjuvant systemic therapy
 - Either BCT or PMRT with AxLND
 - RT to breast +/- boost or chest wall
 - RNI: SCV, ICV, axillary bed at risk, IMNs



Treatment of Recurrence

- Importance of multi-disciplinary approach for optimal outcomes
- Management of recurrence depends on extent of disease and prior therapies received
 - Prior surgery
 - Prior axillary staging
 - Prior systemic therapy
 - Prior radiation therapy



Locoregional Updates

Outline

- General principles of radiation
- Guidelines updates
- Regional nodal irradiation (RNI)
 - Which patients need RNI and to what extent?

NCCN Guidelines Updates: Breast Cancer

- Who needs RNI?
- What influence of surgical resection and axillary surgical staging?
- What about in setting of neoadjuvant chemotherapy?
- What extent of RNI?

Which patients need regional nodal irradiation (or not)?

TARGETS:

Whole breast

- Standard Tangents
- High Tangents

Chest wall

±

Regional nodes

- SCV
- ICV
- Axilla at risk
- IMNs



Which patients need regional nodal irradiation (or not)?

- In setting of BCT?
 - ACOSOG Z11: cT1-2N0, 1-2 +SLNs, tangents
 - IBCSG 23-01: N1mic
 - MA 20: higher risk patients
 - EORTC 22922: higher risk patients

Giuliano et al, JAMA 2011; Galimberti et al, Lancet Oncol 2013; Whelan et al, NEJM 2015; Poortmans et al, NEJM 2015;

Which patients need regional nodal irradiation (or not)?

- In post mastectomy setting?
 - B-04, Danish 82b and 82c, British Columbia
 - ECOG and NSABP pooled analyses
 - Patients on more recent trials?
 - Few on IBCSG and some on EORTC
 - SUPREMO
 - EBCTCG: benefit to RT

Fisher et al, NEJM 2002; Overgaard et al, Radiother Oncol. 2007; Ragaz et al, JNCI 2005; Recht et al, JCO 1999; Taghian et al, JCO 2004; EBCTCG, Lancet, 2014



ACOSOG Z11

- RCT of ALND vs observation for women with 1-2 positive SLNs
- 891 pts, cT1-2N0
- ~ 40% of +SLNs were micromets
- On AxLND, 27.4% of patients had additional +LNs
- Whole breast RT via tangents, no nodal
 - QARC analysis showed variation with 3rd field, high tangents use
- Median 6.3 yrs, no difference and low rates of LR / LRR (<5%), less lymphedema with SLN alone

Giuliano et al, JAMA 2011 Jagsi et al, JCO 2014



EBCTCG Meta-Analysis 2014

- "Effects of RT after Mastectomy and Axillary Surgery on 10 yr Recurrence and 20 yr Breast Cancer Mortality"
- 8,135 women, 22 randomized trials, 1964-1986
- In women with 1-3 N+ and \geq 4 N+ (not N0)
 - RT reduced LRR, OR, and breast cancer mortality
- Are the risks for recurrence the same now?

EBCTCG: Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group

Does this mean everyone should be treated?

EBCTCG, Lancet 2014



EBCTCG Meta-Analysis 2014

Webtable 1: Randomised trials beginning before the year 2000 and comparing radiotherapy to the chest wall and regional lymph nodes versus not after mastectomy and axillary dissection (Mast+AD) or axillary sampling (Mast+AS) – treatment details. Axillary Surgery* (number of patients) Year code and study Chest wall RT Supraclavicular (SC) and axillary fossa (AF) RT ion systemic Internal mammary chain RT 36 Gy (1.8 Gyf) o, SC; 18 Gy (u Gyf) o, AF 45 Gy de (1.8 Gyf) c 55 Gy (2.5 Gyf) c & b 42.5-45.0 Gy (4.25-4.5 Gyf) m 45 Gy (2.3 Gyf) c or m to scar None therapy Ovarian RT 25-41 Gy (1 3-2 1 Gy/D 64B Oslo X-ray RM Axillary dissection (552) 25-41 Gy (1.3-2.1 25-41 Gy (1.3-2.1 Gyf) o 45 Gy (1.8 Gyf) e 46 Gy (2.3 Gyf) c 42.5-45.0 Gy (4.25-4.5 Gyf) m 45 Gy (2.3 Gyf) c or MRM SM SM 71B Stockholm A 73A Southampton UK 74B Edinburgh I Axillary sampling (644) Axillary sampling (151) Axillary sampling (348) None None None 45 Gy (1.8 Gy/f) e 46 Gy (2.3 Gy/f) c None None Either (AC) 5 cycles (AC) 10 cycles; or CMF or MF Mel or CMF 74D DFCI Boston MRM or RM Axillary dissection (218) 0-45 Gy (0-2.3 Gy/f) None m 50 Gy (1.5-1.8 Gy/f) c or m 50 Gy (2 Gy/f) u 37.8 Gy (2.5 Gy/f) o 45-50 Gy (1.8-2.0 Gy/f) c 38 Gy (1.9 Gy/f) e.o.m or c 37.5-40 Gy (2.3 Gy/f) c or m 40 Gy (2 Gy/f) c 45-50 Gy (1.5-2.8 Gy/f) c or m 50 Gy (2 Gy/f) u 37.8 Gy (2.5 Gy/f) o 45-50 Gy (1.8-2 Gy/f) c 45-50 Gy (1.8-2.8 Gy/f) 74Q Piedmont OA (pN4+) MRM or RM Axillary dissection (120) None c or m 50 Gy (2 Gylf) u 37.8 Gy (2.5 Gylf) o 45-50 Gy (1.8-2 Gylf) c or e 48 Gy (2.4 Gylf) e, c or m 37.5 Gy de (2.3 Gylf) c or m Axillary dissection (257) Axillary dissection (219) Axillary dissection (80) Axillary sampling (17) Axillary dissection (771) None None 12 Gy (uGy/f) u None MRM or RM SM MRM or SM SECSG 1 CMF CMF bCG+FAC or FAC 76C Glasgow 77J MD Ander. 7730B 78A S Swedish BCG Premen: C; Postmen: tam CMFP+ovarian RT or CMF LMF MRM 48-60 Gy (2.4 Gy/f) c or m 37.5 Gy de (2.2 Gy/f) c or m 40 Gy (2 Gy/f) c 78G BCCA Vancouver MRM Axillary dissection (318) None 78Q Düsseldorf U Patey Axillary dissection (88) 40 Gy (2 Gy/f) c None NS MRM, Patey MRM, or RM RM 79F Coimbra 79G Metaxas Athens Axillary sampling (124) Axillary dissection (71) 36 Gy (3 Gy/f) o or m 45-60 Gy (2 Gy/f) m 39-45 Gy (3.3-3.8 Gy/f) m 45-60 Gy (2 Gy/f) m 39 Gy (3.3 Gy/f) m 45-60 Gy (2 Gy/f) m None None AC CAMF & tam Premen: ovarian RT 80S Helsinki 45 Gy (3 Gy/f) c 45 Gy (3 Gy/f) c, SC; 45 Gy (3 Gy/f) c, AF 46-50 Gy (2 Gy/f) c or m 45 Gy (3 Gy/f) c CAFt Axillary dissection (99) None 80W NSABC Israel NS Unknown (112) 46-50 Gy (2 Gy/f) c or 40 Gy (2 Gy/f) c or m None CMF Axillary dissection (418) Axillary sampling (1,386) Axillary dissection (344) Axillary sampling (1,119) m 36-50 Gy (1.8-2.2 Gy/f) o ore 36-50 Gy (1.8-2.2 Gy/f) o ore 36-50 Gy (1.8-2.2 Gy/f) o or m 36-50 Gy (1.8-2.2 Gy/f) o or m 36-50 Gy (1.8-2.2 Gy/f) o or e 36-50 Gy (1.8-2.2 Gy/f) o or e 82B Danish BCG 82b pre SM CMF None 82C Danish BCG 82c post SM None tam CAF&H&tam CMF Premen; CMF Postmen;tam o or e 46 Gy (2 Gy/f) c or m 50 Gy (2 Gy/f) c or m 45 Gy (3 Gy/f) m 46-50 Gy (2 Gy/f) c or m 50 Gy (2 Gy/f) c or m 45 Gy (3 Gy/f) m 46 Gy (2 Gylf) c, m or e 44 Gy(1.8 Gylf) c or m None 82Q ECOG EST3181 84A GBSG 03 Germany 85F Nottingham MRM or RM Patey SM Axillary dissection (332) Axillary sampling (199) Axillary sampling (77) None None None 86C CRC, UK NS Unknown (71) Various Various Various Various None * Based on the description of values suggery in the intel orderoil or updatacioner or on information on individual versors. Where were closed as laving palary disection if they were is a triat where the program of terminant on the second se

EBCTCG, Lancet 2014



Regional Nodal Irradiation

- MA.20:
 - 1832 pN+ (85% N1) or high risk N- pts (10%)
 - BCS and ALND, adjuvant systemic tx
 - WBI ± RNI
 - RNI = IMNs, SCV, ICV, ± Ax
- EORTC 22922:
 - 4000 pN+ (44% N1) or high risk N- pts (43%)
 - BCS (76%) or M and ALND, adjuvant systemic tx
 - WBI or CW ± RNI
 - RNI = IMNs, SCV, ICV, ± Ax

* definitions of high risk N- differed as types did use of chemotherapy/endocrine therapy

Whelan et al, NEJM 2015 Poortmans et al, NEJM 2015



Regional Nodal Irradiation

- Results from MA 20 and EORTC 22922:
 10 yr median follow up
 - Primary endpoint was OS
 - RNI improved locoregional DFS, distant DFS, and death from breast cancer, but did not improve OS

Whelan et al, NEJM 2015 Poortmans et al, NEJM 2015



How do we interpret and reconcile the differences between these studies in determining the role for regional nodal irradiation?

Which patients need regional nodal irradiation?

- Consider whether a given study is applicable and whether an individual patient met the study eligibility.
- Assess individual risk for recurrence.
- Nomograms may be helpful.

Which patients need regional nodal irradiation?

 Questions and answers regarding the extent of lymph node surgery (SLN Bx vs Ax LND) are not the same as question and answers regarding the need for, type of, and extent of regional nodal radiation.

Clinical Case

48 year old premenopausal female with a cT2N1M0 (bx proven N+) left breast invasive carcinoma NST, grade 3, ER/PR negative, Her2 positive receives neoadjuvant chemotherapy with significant clinical response.

She is desirous of BCT and proceeds with WLE and SLN biopsy.

Clinical Case

Pathology returns ypT1aN1a(sn) with 4 mm residual disease, associated DCIS, negative margins, and 1/2 lymph nodes positive with 3 mm involvement and no ECE.

What are your recommendations for next therapies?

Audience Response Question

- 1. Axillary LND before any RT recommendation
- 2. Whole breast RT using high tangents with boost
- 3. Whole breast RT with boost + RNI to SCV, ICV and axilla, no IMNs
- 4. Whole breast RT with boost + RNI to SCV, ICV, axilla, and IMNs
- 5. Clinical trial

Regional Nodal Irradiation Recommendations: Summary

• ≥4 LNs + Following either BCT or PMRT

RT to breast +/- boost or chest wall +RNI (category 1)



- **1-3 LNs +** BCT
 - RT to breast +/- boost (category 1)
 - Strongly consider RNI

PMRT

 Strongly consider RT to chest wall +/boost and RNI

Regional Nodal Irradiation Recommendations: Summary

- Which nodal volumes treated?
 - SCV
 - ICV
 - Axillary bed at risk
 - IMNs
- Attention to normal tissue dose constraints
 In particular heart and lung



cN1 → ypNO(sn) Extent of RNI: NSABP B51 / RTOG 1304

A Randomized Phase III Clinical Trial Evaluating Post-Mastectomy Chest Wall and Regional Nodal XRT and Post-Lumpectomy Regional Nodal XRT in Patients with Positive Axillary Nodes Before Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Who Convert to Pathologically Negative Axillary Nodes After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy



cN1 → ypN+(sn) Extent of Axillary Sx: Alliance A011202

A Randomized Phase III Trial Comparing Axillary Lymph Node Dissection to Axillary Radiation in Breast Cancer Patients (cT1-3 N1) who have Positive Sentinel Lymph Node Disease After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy





